

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,026	05/11/2001	Klaus Hollig	41608	5049
1609	7590 01/26/2005		EXAMINER	
	E, ABRAMS, BERD STREET, N.W.	FREID, RUSSELL WARREN		
SUITE 600	71KDD1, 14. W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON,, DC 20036		2128	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	\mathcal{A}
	09/853,026	HOLLIG ET AL.	(4)
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Russell Frejd	2128	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri d for Reply	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence addre	ss -
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, more within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 O	ctober 2001.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disp sition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) accepted or drawing(s) be held in at tion is required if the dra	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received s have been received rity documents have t u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10.22.01, 9.17.01.	Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

S rial Number: 09/853,026

In re Application of: H Ilig et al.

Examination of Application #09/853,026

Claims 1-12 of application 09/853,026, filed on 11-May-2001, are presented for 1. examination.

Objections to the Drawings

2. The Examiner respectfully notes that Fig. 1 should be labeled as "Prior Art".

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows: 3.

> Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed 4. to non-statutory subject matter. The invention claims (claim 1 preamble), "A process for increasing the efficiency of a computer for finite element simulations by automatic generation of suitable basis functions using B-splines."
- The Manual Patent Examining Procedure (hereinafter MPEP) provides, in Section 5. 2106(IV)(B)(2)(b), that to be statutory, the invention must be analyzed in view of whether or not it can be classified as a series of steps to be performed on a computer, wherein the steps of the process are evaluated to determine if they perform Independent Physical Acts or Manipulate

Serial Number: 09/853,026

In re Application of: Hollig et al.

٧

Data Representing Physical Objects or Activities, in order to achieve a practical application; and if not, does the invention merely manipulate an abstract idea or solve a purely mathematical problem without any limitation to a practical application.

MPEP Section 2106(IV)(B)(2)(b)(I) further provides that, in regard to Independent Physical Acts (Post-Computer Process Activity), a process is statutory if it requires physical acts to be performed outside the computer independent of and following the steps to be performed by a programmed computer, where those acts involve the manipulation of tangible physical objects and result in the object having a different physical attribute or structure. Furthermore, the Manipulation of Data Representing Physical Objects or Activities (Pre-Computer Process Activity) defines a statutory process as one that requires the measurements of physical objects or activities to be transformed outside of the computer into computer data, where the data comprises signals corresponding to physical objects or activities external to the computer system, and where the process causes a physical transformation of the signals which are intangible representations of the physical objects or activities.

- 6. In view of the foregoing, and other considerations, the Examiner respectfully contends that the claims of the present invention do not meet the criteria established above for a statutory process. The reasoning behind this determination is:
- 6.1 The claimed invention, "A process for increasing the efficiency of a computer for finite element simulations by automatic generation of suitable basis functions using B-splines", does not require physical acts to be performed outside the computer, those acts being independent of and following the steps to be performed by the computer, those acts further involving the

Serial Number: 09/853,026 Pag 4

In re Application of: Hollig tal.

manipulation of tangible physical objects which result in the object having a different physical attribute or structure. For this reason, the claimed invention does not meet the Independent Physical Acts (Post-Computer Process Activity) requirement.

- 6.2 Further In regard to independent claim 1, the Examiner respectfully contends that the claims fail to require measurements of physical objects to be transformed outside of the computer into computer data; and thereby do not meet the Manipulation of Data Representing Physical Objects or Activities (Pre-Computer Process Activity) requirement.
- determined not by how the computer performs the process, but by what the computer does to achieve a practical application with a useful, concrete and tangible result. For example, a computer process that simply calculates a mathematical algorithm that models noise is nonstatutory, while a claimed process for digitally filtering noise employing the mathematical algorithm is statutory. The long line of cases in this area that are referred to in MPEP Section 2106(IV)(B)(2)(b)(ii) exemplify this requirement, by utilizing in the claim language, terms such as controlling, executing, changing and removing. In view of the aforementioned requirement, the Examiner respectfully contends that the claim language of independent claim 1 does not claim a practical application, that language claiming a process for: (in claim 1) definition of a simulation region (emphasis added), input of boundary conditions, establishment of a predefinable grid, determination of a grid, classification of the B-splines, determination of coupling coefficients and storage of the parameters.
- **6.4** For at least these reasons, the Examiner respectfully posits that the claims of the present invention do not meet the criteria for a statutory process. Accordingly, the *process for increasing*

Serial Number: 09/853,026

In re Application of: Hollig et al.

the efficiency of a computer for finite element simulations by automatic generation of suitable basis functions using B-splines, is determined to be a method consisting solely of mathematical operations, converting one set of numbers (the simulation region data) into another set of numbers (the parameters which determine the basis functions), whereby the method does not manipulate appropriate subject matter, and thus cannot constitute a statutory process (MPEP Section 2106(IV)(B)(2)(c)).

Response Guidelines

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) m nths and 0 (zero) days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response 7. will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Any response to the Examiner in regard to this non-final action should be 8.

directed to:

Russell Frejd, telephone number (703) 305-4839, Monday-Friday from 0530 to 1400 ET, or the examiner's supervisor, Jean Homere, telephone number (703) 308-6647. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, telephone number (703) 305-3900.

mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to 220 South 20th Street, Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03, Arlington. VA., 22202.

Dat: 28-September-2004

RUSSELL FREJD PRIMARY EXAMINER